

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MAILED

Paper No. 5

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

Brooks & Kushman P.C. 1000 Town Center, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor Southfield, MI 48075

DEC 08 2003

Director's Office Group 3700

In re Application of

Benjamin D. Sweet et al.

Application No.: 09/684,278

Filed: October 06, 2002

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SEEMLESS TRANSTION

BETWEEN MULTIPLE FEEDBACK RANGES

This is a decision on petitioner's request filed June 25, 202 requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application because the applicant did not receive the NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND ISSUE FEE DUE mailed on January 28, 2002 at the correspondence address of record.

The petition is <u>dismissed</u>.

The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include: (1) a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received; and (2) a copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See MPEP 711.03(c) II.

The instant application does not comply with item (2) above.

Petitioners may file a renewed petition, without fee, addressing the points raised above. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision, 37 CFR 1.181(f). No extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.181." Alternatively, petitioners may wish to consider filing a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137. The rules and MPEP sections cited may be found on the USPTO website at: www.uspto.gov.

Richard A. Bertsch, Director Technology Center 3700